

United States Patent and Trademark Office

my

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/056,312	01/24/2002	Daryl S. Meredith	TN-1488	9558
7590 11/21/2003		EXAMINER		
Adan Ayala, Esq.			HAMILTON, ISAAC N	
Black & Decker Inc. 701 E. Joppa Road, TW-199			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Towson, MD			3724	13
		DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	pplicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/056,312	MEREDITH ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Isaac N Hamilton	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a h					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention of the statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention of the statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention of the statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention of the statutory period for reply the stat	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ont of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	n on				
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below):					
_ · · · _ ·		See Ite I E Below),					
 (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the 							
issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· / /—						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:	au /						
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 2.	Allan N. Shoap						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3-24.	upervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app		he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•					
10. Other:							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)



Application No. 10/056,312

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment, "the intermediate gear is always meshing with the first and second gears," raises new issues. The combination of Grobbelaar with applicant's prior art teaches an idler gear that is used in the transmission of power from a motor to a miter saw. The combination shows the instant invention and the fact that idler gears are used in saw assemblies is well known as further evidenced by references Fiala (5,159,870) and Chaconas (4,433,589).